

Annex 5

MTS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR LIMITED FINAL REVIEW

Table 1. Performance indicators rated as adequate, inadequate performance or insufficient data in the MTR.

Indicator	Target Criterion	MTR Rating	Comments
1.2 Degree of GPs' commitment to implementation of their allotted portions of the RCA Projects	Nominations for RTCs are from members from National Project Teams	Not evaluated	The PPF has been updated, so it is appropriate to re-evaluate this Target Criterion to determine if we now have sufficient data to make an evaluation
1.3 Efforts made by GPs to provide additional support to RCA programme through EB or IK contributions	Percentage of GPs making EB contributions	Inadequate	These are straight-forward criteria to evaluate, so is worth re-assessing.
	Percentage of GPs making EB contributions	Adequate	
2.1 The RCA Programme is in full alignment with the strategic priorities of the MTS	Resources are allotted across strategic sectors based on optimum proportions defined by the GPs	Not evaluated	Optimum proportions of resources had not been defined by the NRs, but now we can evaluate the split of funding compared to the RPF.
3.1 Required financial resources available for the full implementation of the RCA activities	Project designs identify at least 25% of budgeted components or activities as extra budgetary supported.	Inadequate	Discussions among WG MTSC suggests that this target criterion should be replaced
3.2 Required human resources available for the full implementation of the RCA activities	Recipient GPs have identified their workforce requirements (e.g. NPC, ANPC, NPT) in PPFs.	Not evaluated	The PPF has been updated, so it is appropriate to re-evaluate this Target Criterion to determine if we now have sufficient data to make an evaluation
	RTC participants are from NPTs.		
	Knowledge is shared after attending RTCs as evidenced in PPAR.		
3.3 Required physical resources, nuclear and associated	RRUs or in-country facilities are available and used to meet the	Adequate	The PPF has been updated, so it is appropriate to re-evaluate this Target Criterion to determine if we now have

infrastructure available for the full implementation of the RCA activities	requirements of RCA Projects for every GP		sufficient data to make an evaluation
4.1 Contribution of projects to overall sustainable development in the region, through assessable impacts in socio-economic development and environmental protection (in relation to SDGs)	Baseline is known, and information is available to detect improvement (of any magnitude) against baseline	Not evaluated	<p>We have discussed replacement with new target criteria for the final review:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RCA projects generate outcome benefits for the region. 2. The RCA programme generates longer-term impacts for the region. 3. There is an increased capability and capacity within the RCA programme to evaluate its outcomes and impacts
4.2 The RCA programme is 10 recognized as an effective partner contributing to achievement of socio-economic development and environmental protection for the region (in relation to SDGs)	Baseline is known, and information is available to detect improvement (of any magnitude) against baseline	Not evaluated	<p>We have discussed replacement with new target criteria for the final review:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stakeholders are aware of and value the outcomes and impacts delivered by the RCA Programme. 2. There is an increased capability and capacity within the RCA programme to evaluate the stakeholder perceptions.