

Future RCA

Food for Thought

Sinh Van Hoang - RCA Focal Person



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

TIME
for
Change

THINK BIG.

We are looking for individuals who are eager to develop and apply their skills to create buildings where people live, work and play. If you think big, we would like to hear from you.



➤ *After 48 years, it can be confirmed that the RCA has evolved and developed very well. The RCA has been proven as an effective cooperative mechanism;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *But, further enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of cooperative activities under the RCA framework is **an objective requirement/demand!***



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *In order to innovate and invigorate the RCA, it is essential to identify the weaknesses/ limitations in the current operating mechanism of the RCA.*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

Here are some observations (among others):

- *There is a high turnover of NRs, therefore, many NRs just get acquainted with the RCA mechanism, then leave! and a new NR comes, or no NR is nominated for some time;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *Some GPs do not have a clear mechanism to support NR in the coordination of cooperative activities under the RCA. There is loose communication between NRs and NPCs in some GPs; Some NRs do not have power expected as “NR” (policy maker);*



IAEA
International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *The term of the RCA Chair is just one year. It is only enough time for a new RCA Chair to understand the RCA, then leave. It is a very formal management mechanism;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *The RCA is a multi-governmental agreement, but there has been no meeting at ministerial level over the past 48 years to affirm their strong political will and commitment.*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *There is a lack of comprehensive and holistic, multi-/interdisciplinary approach in the planning, formulation, implementation and assessment of the RCA programme/projects;*



IAEA
International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *There is no mechanism to involve experts, stakeholders, managers, national authorities in developing RCA programme/projects from inception;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *The investment for the RCA projects is dispersed, scattered, widespread, below threshold and unfocused. The projects are not sizeable and long enough to produce expected social and economic impact;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *There is a lack of regional plan/programme on nuclear science and technology human resource development, connecting universities and national nuclear institutes in the region to support the RCA programme;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

➤ *There is a lack of effective mechanism to promote partnership and financial resource mobilization;*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

- *GOR is rather heavy and cumbersome/
bureaucratic. It needs to be reviewed, revised
and simplified.*
- *Etc.*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency

The next question is:


How to invigorate and innovate the RCA?

*Brainstorm together, we can find the way to
innovate the RCA!*



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency



IEEE brain
BrainStorming

Enabling open dialogue
among the broad academic,
industry, and government
communities through polls,
questions and comments.

Join The Discussion

IEEE



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency



“Individual talents get magnified many times over through the collective lens of an effective team.”

Dalal Haldeman



Thank you for your attention!



IAEA

International Atomic Energy Agency